UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,385	05/07/2007	Tomoki Hamamoto	2006_0434A	9339
	7590 07/28/201 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			EPPS -SMITH, JANET L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/573,385	HAMAMOTO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
JANET L. EPPS -SMITH	1633

Application No.

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (w for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 periods:	es: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the rith appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request .114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	y Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wh have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than 1 may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ned statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS 	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr (a) They raise new issues that would require further conside (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	-			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corres NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sponding number of finally rejected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. So	ee attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
	ble if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to the rejection of claims 1, 5, 7, and 9-10 under 35 USC 103(a), Applicants argue that the cited references do not teach or suggest the claimed invention. Specifically, Applicants argue that "the ion is added not to subserve the catalytic reaction by CMP-NeuAc synthase, but to remove the contaminant (e.g., phosphoric acids, pyrophosphoric acids, and nucleotides) remaining in solution of the catalytic reaction." Contrary to Applicant's assertions, Kittleman et al. clearly teaches that divalent cation serve a dual purpose. In one aspect, Mg+2 is disclosed as useful for catalyzing the formation of CMP-NeuAc from the reaction of Neu5Ac with CTP, and in another aspect Mg+2 is used to precipitate the contaminant pyrophosphate in the form of magnesium pyrophosphate (see col. 15, lines 5-10). Additionally, Applicant's submission of the IDS of 06/17/2011 discloses the Defrees et al. US Patent 5922577, which also teaches the use of divalent cation can remove Pi or PPi from various processes in glycosyl transferase cycles by precipitaiton. Thus, Applicant's discovery regarding the role of divalent cation in the claimed process is not inventive.				
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>06/17/2011</u> 13. ☐ Other:				
	/IANET L EDDS SMITH/			
	/JANET L. EPPS -SMITH/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633			

Application No.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20110718